Warm and Fuzzy Tales of Collaboration at University of California Mobilizing for Functional Collaboration



Image: kozydan artwork, http://www.kozyndan.com



Overview

- Focus on players and roles in a collaborative project underway at UC
- Warm and Fuzzy Digital library perspective
- Tomorrow: Session 11, focus on project logistics - moving a demonstrator into a sustainable service
- Looking ahead: VRA Bulletin article



Background on University of California

< 20,000 students

Bennington 657

UC Merced 1,286

UC San Francisco 2,943

Smith 3,065

Rice University 5,024

Brandeis 5,313

Dartmouth 5,849

College of William and Mary 7,709

Brown 8,125

Tufts 9,638

Carnegie Mellon 10,120

Yale 11,416

Duke 13,373

Georgetown 14,148

SUNY Binghamton 14,373

U of Miami 15,670

UC Santa Cruz 15,825

UC Riverside 16,875

Georgia Institute of Technology 17,834 James Madison University 17,918 Stanford 19,782

> 20,000 students

UC Santa Barbara 21,082

Columbia 22,317

U of Pennsylvania 23,743

U of Virginia 24,068

U of Illinois, Chicago 24,644

UC Irvine 25,230

Harvard 25,778

UC San Diego 26,427

U of NC, Chapel Hill 27,717

SUNY Buffalo 27,823

UC Davis 29,628

UC Berkely 33,920

UCLA 38,218

Purdue 39,228

U of Mich, Ann Arbor 40,025

U of Wisconsin, Madison 41,466

U of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign 42,3.

U of Texas at Austin 49,697

Ohio State University, Columbus 51,818

10 campuses 220,000 students





Background on University of California

- •Resources come from many places: CDL, Libraries, VRCs, EduTech (collaboration is a necessity)
- Budget challenges and restructuring are an opportunity, really

"The university's future success depends on its ability to act as one system, operating in ways that are as cross-disciplinary, innovative and collaborative as possible to sustain our competitive advantage as the world's leading public research university."

- Provost and Exec VP of UCOP, 3/10/08



What's CDL's role at UC?

- •CDL brings together the right people at the right time to meet critical system-wide needs. We help campus libraries buy it, build it, share it, and preserve it.
- •CDL serves 1) libraries, 2) campuses, 3) general public
- How can we be useful to the UC campuses? Continually restructuring our programs and services as needs change.



How/Why did CDL team up with VRCs?

•Why now?

- ✓ Faculty going digital
- ✓ Standards maturing (cataloging, sharing)
- ✓ Slides becoming obsolete
- ✓ VRCs beginning to implement digital image management/access systems

Most important to CDL

- ✓ VRCs organized as a highly-functional group and clearly articulated their needs
- ✓ VRCs were early participants in demonstrator projects (e.g. LUCI)
- √VRCs worked hard to make alliances (internal and external)



Case study in collaboration: UC Shared Images

UC Shared Images is uniquely poised to make digital images for teaching broadly available for faculty and students *campus-wide* and more importantly, *UC-wide*.



Berkeley
Davis
Irvine
Los Angeles
Merced
Riverside
San Diego
Santa Barbara
Santa Cruz
CDL



Case study in collaboration: UC Shared Images

•What is it?

- It's a model for shared collection development among VRCs
- It's a way to provide images for teaching

• It's one of many image resources/repositories

- Luna Insight collections
- licensed collections hosted by vendors (AP Multimedia, Grove Art, etc.)
- library collections (Online Archive of California)
- local campus collections (various systems)

Discover, Share A R T S T O R Preserve/Backup Local Image Management **UC Shared Images** CDL Preservation Repository upload CDL CDL licensed upload campus Each campus may have vr curator collection(s) more than one collection. Options for adding OIV presentations into courseware: Collections that are only available to one campus 1) Post the .prs (OIV presentation) file to the course site. will not be part of UC Shared Images. (students must have OIV installed to view the presentation.) 2) Print the OIV presentation as a .pdf file; post to the course site. 3) Upload the OIV slides to a Personal Collection and create a URL faculty request images. link to the slides that can be pasted into the course mgmt system faculty donate images. (This will take the least amount of space on the course site). **Export** Use 1024 x 768 max. Faculty export images for lectures, courseware, sharing with students. upload faculty upload shared PowerPoint. Course ARTstor Management Sysi Offline Keynote, etc. Image Viewer (CMS) my (OIV) student folder Students can group and save images

in ARTstor, but they do not have



Who are the key players? What are their roles?

- •CDL (bring together players, manage implementation, co-invest along with campuses)
 - strategic planning, facilitation, licensing, policy, communication, direct access to advisory committees

Libraries

- principal funders of shared licensed collections; supporters of broad access
- VRCs (4 in libraries; 6 in departments)
 - collection builders, cataloging/subject experts, best group to begin shared collection development
- Educational technology/Academic Computing
 - supporting faculty personal collections and end-user tools
- Faculty/students
 - aggregators of images, end-users



Building a collaborative support structure

Find Champions

authority, influence and \$

Solicit stakeholders

create a discussion forum: establishes trust and transparency;
 streamlines communication; builds relationships

Consult with Advisors/Mind the hierarchy

- navigating the web of committees and their protocols is daunting and timeconsuming: take time upfront to identify which committees should/must be consulted and what role they will play
- be specific about what you are asking for: approval, support, expert advice

Share community practice

share experience (internal, external), models/templates/samples;
 share on Image Service listserv

In-person demo/rollout

 bring together stakeholders; don't underestimate the power of face-to-face



Opportunities and challenges

biggest opportunity

strategic collection development (not tossing images in a pile and creating an "image dump") = save time and money (starting with VRCs -- focused subject area)

biggest challenge

supporting campus autonomy AND providing structure (policy, standards, principles); building shared values and processes; don't punt on big issues - don't rollout a service and define it at the same time

most daunting

fostering partnerships *among* players which have complex relationships that vary by institution (and even within institutions)

most valuable

building relationships and processes for decision-making



The great unknown

- Image services are ever evolving and require
 active management
 - -anticipate (identify risks, assumptions, trends; preserve effort; opportunities for partnering, innovation)
 -assess (identify problems AND successes; determine)
 - -assess (identify problems AND successes; determine next steps)
- •What do you do when the target audience disregards the service?
 - -how does this affect VR curators?
 - -what are the opportunities for VR curators to broaden service beyond a single department?



Takeaways

- •Develop a stakeholder community and collaborative support structure (regardless of circumstances, you have the right *people and processes* in place to make decisions and adapt to changing needs)
- •Cultivate partnerships *among* campus stakeholders (bring people together, face-to-face when possible)
- •Collaboration is possible (and necessary); find a champion for your project or yourself!



Contact Info

Lena Zentall
UC Image Service Manager
University of California, California Digital Library

lena.zentall@ucop.edu

http://www.cdlib.org/inside/projects/image